Your City, Our Future
Tell us what you think Bristol should be like
Your City Our Future

What is it?
- A trial of deliberative democracy techniques in Bristol

Aim
- To ensure citizens’ ideas and priorities are adapted into Bristol’s recovery strategy and help to shape our future strategy and actions

Jan 2020 – May 2020
- Commitment to trial DD

May 2020
- Topic: Recovery & Future

Jul 2020
- Focus groups

Aug-Sep 2020
- Survey to define issues

Oct-Dec 2020
- Select specialist partners

Jan-Mar 2021
- Design process
- Recruit 60 citizens
- Assembly sessions & recommendations

COVID-19 lockdown

Jan 2020
- Huge disruption
- New perspectives
A survey was launched in August 2020 to help understand issues which citizens think are most important to the city. It provided valuable insights on:
- Citizens’ experiences during lockdown (what we liked and disliked)
- Citizens’ priorities for Bristol’s future
- Topics to be explored in the citizens’ assembly

The survey questions were based around issues Bristol citizens told us about in focus groups held during July 2020.
Your City Our Future Survey

• The survey was open from 5 August to 28 September.

• It was publicised widely, including by:
  • Press release, social media, radio and local publication advertising
  • Sharing through local partners, community champions and organisations
  • 20,000 paper copies sent to areas with historically low responses
  • Promoting through the Citizens’ Panel and the Ask Bristol e-newsletter
Who answered the survey?
We received 6,535 responses: 86% from Bristol and 6% from neighbouring authorities. 8% did not provide a postcode.
Where did responses come from?

Your City Our Future survey

Responses per 10,000 population

Contains OS data © Crown copyright [and database right] (2017)

Source: Survey
Who responded?

The most deprived parts of the city (deciles 1, 2 and 3 on the chart) were slightly under-represented in the responses.

The least deprived parts of the city (deciles 7 to 10 on the chart) were slightly over-represented in the responses.

20,000 paper surveys were distributed where response rates have been low in previous surveys.
Who responded: age, sex, disability?

Response rates by different age groups, sex and disability are shown on the following slides.

Most responses were from people aged 35 and over. Despite efforts to reach 16 to 24 year olds, people in this age group was under-represented.

63% of responses were from females. 36% were from males.

Response rates from disabled people were representative of Bristol’s population.
Response rate: sex

Your City Our Future
Respondent Characteristics - Sex

- Female: 63%
- Male: 37%
- Other: 0.6%
- No data: 0.6%
Response rate: disability

Your City Our Future
Respondent Characteristics - Disability

Responses to the question "Do you consider yourself to be a disabled person?"
Who responded: ethnicity, religion

The following charts show the ethnicity and the religion of respondents compared to the proportion living in Bristol.

Despite substantial efforts to reach all communities in Bristol, citizens from Black, Asian and mixed ethnic groups were under-represented.

Most respondents indicated they were of no faith.

Overall Christians, Hindus, Jews, Muslims and Sikhs were under-represented.
Response rate: ethnicity

Your City Our Future
Respondent Characteristics - Ethnicity

Ethnicity

- White British: 82% (All respondents), 78% (Bristol respondents), 82% (Bristol population)
- White Irish: 2% (All respondents), 2% (Bristol respondents), 0.9% (Bristol population)
- White other: 8% (All respondents), 8% (Bristol respondents), 5% (Bristol population)
- Black/African/Caribbean/Black British: 2% (All respondents), 2% (Bristol respondents), 6% (Bristol population)
- Asian/Asian British: 3% (All respondents), 3% (Bristol respondents), 6% (Bristol population)
- Mixed/Multi ethnic group: 3% (All respondents), 3% (Bristol respondents), 4% (Bristol population)
- Gypsy/Roma/Irish Traveller: 0.1% (All respondents), 0.1% (Bristol respondents), 0.5% (Bristol population)
- Other ethnic background: 0.6% (All respondents), 0.6% (Bristol respondents), 0.9% (Bristol population)
Response rate: religion

Your City Our Future
Respondent Characteristics - Religion/faith

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religion/Faith</th>
<th>All respondents</th>
<th>Bristol respondents</th>
<th>Bristol population (Census data)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Religion</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikh</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagan</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experiences during lockdown
Experiences during lockdown

The following charts show whether people liked or disliked the changes that they experienced during lockdown.

There are charts for:

- Health
- Community
- Environment
- Leisure
- Travel
- Work
Experiences during lockdown

Key changes which people liked during lockdown were:

• People helping each other more in communities
• Fewer homeless people on the streets
• Less traffic and cleaner air
• Cycling and walking more
• More flexibility about when to work
• Taking more exercise, spending time in green spaces and trying new activities
• Less noise and more awareness of wildlife
During lockdown - health

- I took more exercise: 41% strongly liked, 45% quite liked, 12% didn't mind, 0% quite disliked, 0% strongly disliked
- My physical health was better: 39% strongly liked, 43% quite liked, 15% didn't mind, 2% quite disliked, 2% strongly disliked
- I ate more healthily: 32% strongly liked, 47% quite liked, 18% didn't mind, 2% quite disliked, 2% strongly disliked
- My mental health was better: 31% strongly liked, 38% quite liked, 23% didn't mind, 4% quite disliked, 4% strongly disliked
- I ate less healthily: 3% strongly liked, 21% quite liked, 40% didn't mind, 34% quite disliked, 0% strongly disliked
- I took less exercise: 2% strongly liked, 10% quite liked, 38% didn't mind, 49% quite disliked, 0% strongly disliked
- My physical health was worse: 2% strongly liked, 9% quite liked, 39% didn't mind, 49% quite disliked, 0% strongly disliked
- My mental health was worse: 1% strongly liked, 7% quite liked, 41% didn't mind, 49% quite disliked, 0% strongly disliked
During lockdown - community

### During lockdown: community and social activity

**All respondents**

- **People did more to help each other**
  - I strongly liked: 55%
  - I quite liked: 41%
  - I didn't mind: 4%
  - I quite disliked: 23%
  - I strongly disliked: 5%
  - Total responses: 5363

- **There were fewer homeless people on the streets**
  - I strongly liked: 70%
  - I quite liked: 23%
  - I didn't mind: 5%
  - I quite disliked: 0%
  - I strongly disliked: 0%
  - Total responses: 4440

- **I spent more time discovering places near my home**
  - I strongly liked: 50%
  - I quite liked: 39%
  - I didn't mind: 10%
  - I quite disliked: 0%
  - I strongly disliked: 0%
  - Total responses: 4766

- **I got to know my neighbours better**
  - I strongly liked: 36%
  - I quite liked: 50%
  - I didn't mind: 12%
  - I quite disliked: 0%
  - I strongly disliked: 0%
  - Total responses: 4424

- **I did more to help other people**
  - I strongly liked: 33%
  - I quite liked: 51%
  - I didn't mind: 16%
  - I quite disliked: 0%
  - I strongly disliked: 0%
  - Total responses: 4889

- **I kept in touch with friends/family online**
  - I strongly liked: 21%
  - I quite liked: 33%
  - I didn't mind: 23%
  - I quite disliked: 16%
  - I strongly disliked: 7%
  - Total responses: 5872

- **I spent more time on my own**
  - I strongly liked: 4%
  - I quite liked: 22%
  - I didn't mind: 31%
  - I quite disliked: 27%
  - I strongly disliked: 17%
  - Total responses: 4554

- **I spent less time on my own**
  - I strongly liked: 4%
  - I quite liked: 16%
  - I didn't mind: 49%
  - I quite disliked: 23%
  - I strongly disliked: 7%
  - Total responses: 2503
During lockdown - environment

- **There was cleaner air in Bristol**: 84% strongly liked, 12% quite liked, 3% didn't mind, 4% quite disliked, 5% strongly disliked (5916 responses)
- **There was less traffic in Bristol**: 82% strongly liked, 14% quite liked, 4% didn't mind, 3% quite disliked, 5% strongly disliked (6220 responses)
- **I was more aware of wildlife where I live**: 73% strongly liked, 22% quite liked, 5% didn't mind, 5% quite disliked, 3% strongly disliked (5185 responses)
- **Bristol was quieter/more peaceful**: 76% strongly liked, 16% quite liked, 3% didn't mind, 5% quite disliked, 3% strongly disliked (6172 responses)
- **There were more people walking and cycling**: 58% strongly liked, 23% quite liked, 13% didn't mind, 3% quite disliked, 2% strongly disliked (5889 responses)
- **There were more people using parks/green spaces**: 46% strongly liked, 25% quite liked, 18% didn't mind, 8% quite disliked, 4% strongly disliked (5745 responses)
## During lockdown - leisure

### During lockdown: leisure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity/Change</th>
<th>Strongly Liked</th>
<th>Quite Liked</th>
<th>Didn't Mind Either Way</th>
<th>Quite Disliked</th>
<th>Strongly Disliked</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I spent more time in parks/green spaces</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4830 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I started a new activity/hobby</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2882 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I had a slower pace of life</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5217 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stopped going to restaurants / pubs</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6024 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I spent less time in parks/green spaces</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>831 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stopped watching sport at live events</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2029 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stopped going to music venues / cinema / theatre</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5831 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stopped taking part in organised sports</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2732 responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During lockdown - travel

- I cycled more than usual: 56% liked, 35% didn't mind, 7% disliked.
- I walked more than usual: 44% liked, 42% didn't mind, 11% disliked.
- I drove less than usual: 32% liked, 37% didn't mind, 18% disliked.
- I travelled less to my place of work or education: 35% liked, 32% didn't mind, 13% disliked.
- I travelled less for business trips: 38% liked, 28% didn't mind, 13% disliked.
- I used public transport less than usual: 15% liked, 18% didn't mind, 22% disliked.
- I used public transport more than usual: 9% liked, 16% didn't mind, 41% disliked.
- I drove more than usual: 6% liked, 13% didn't mind, 38% disliked.
- I travelled less for leisure day trips: 3% liked, 8% didn't mind, 16% disliked.
- I travelled less for holidays and overnight stays: 3% liked, 6% didn't mind, 10% disliked.
- I cycled less than usual: 4% liked, 41% didn't mind, 38% disliked.
- I walked less than usual: 2% liked, 17% didn't mind, 34% disliked.

Responses:
- I strongly liked: 2079 responses
- I quite liked: 4313 responses
- I didn't mind: 3958 responses
- I quite disliked: 3928 responses
- I strongly disliked: 2641 responses

Bar chart showing distribution of responses across different modes of travel during lockdown.
### During lockdown: work

#### All respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in Work</th>
<th>Percentage (I strongly liked)</th>
<th>Percentage (I quite liked)</th>
<th>Percentage (I didn't mind either way)</th>
<th>Percentage (I quite disliked)</th>
<th>Percentage (I strongly disliked)</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I had more flexibility about when I worked</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I started a new job</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worked from home more</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was furloughed</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My employer increased my hours</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal change but I had less to do at work</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My employer reduced my hours</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal change but I had more to do at work</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>1694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was made redundant</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am self-employed and lost work</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- I strongly liked this change
- I quite liked this change
- I didn't mind either way
- I quite disliked this change
- I strongly disliked this change
Priorities for Bristol’s future
The survey asked citizens what they want Bristol to be like in the future. They considered 81 possible actions identified by the focus groups.

Citizens were asked how important each action is to them on a scale of:

- Very high importance
- High importance
- Medium importance
- Low importance
- Not important at all
The 81 actions are grouped in the following ten themes:

- Health and social care
- Inclusion and fairness
- Environment (including climate change)
- Housing
- Transport
- Employment
- Learning and skills
- Access to the internet
- Improving local communities
- Funding
Future priorities: health / social care

As an example, the next slide shows the importance citizens place on five actions under the health and social care theme. The chart shows the views of all respondents to the survey.

The most important health / social care actions are:

• action to deal with the backlog of non COVID-19 related illness (88% very high or high importance)
• easier access to mental health support (88% very high or high importance)
The chart shows the views of all respondents on each health/social care theme. Similar charts showing the views for all ten themes (81 actions) are shown in a later section: Future priorities: detailed results - all respondents
Health priorities for different groups

To see if views varied for people in different situations, we compared the priorities of:

• people in the most and least deprived parts of the city
• men and women
• people aged 24 and younger compared to older citizens

For example the next chart compares the views on health and social care priorities of people in the most deprived 20% of the city (deciles 1 and 2) and the least deprived 20% of the city (deciles 9 and 10).
The chart compares the views on health and social care priorities of people in the most deprived 20% and least deprived 20% of the city.

Similar charts for all ten themes (81 actions) are shown in the later sections (Future priorities – detailed results) for high and low deprivation; men and women; people aged 0-24 and 25 or older.
Ten themes, 81 actions overview

The following charts provide an overview of the importance citizens place on the 81 future actions and how this varies with deprivation, for men and women and by age.

The % figure (column A) is the percentage of all respondents who said each action is of high or very high importance.

Based on this percentage for all respondents, the 81 actions were ranked from 1 - 81 with 1 (highest %; green) being most important and 81 (lowest %; red) least important (column B).

In the same way, the 81 actions were ranked from 1 - 81 for six groups - high and low deprivation, male and female, young people (aged 0-24) and people aged 25 or older - using the percentage of those groups who said each action is high or very high importance (columns C to H).

The most important themes have been used to inform the topics chosen for discussion in the citizen assembly.
### Health and social care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action to deal with a backlog of non-COVID-19 related illness</th>
<th>88%</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to mental health support</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better social care for disabled and older people</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better public transport to access hospitals</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More visitor parking at hospitals</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Inclusion and fairness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action to reduce health inequality between all communities in Bristol</th>
<th>82%</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More equal education opportunities for all citizens in Bristol</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained funding for not-for-profit organisations which support vulnerable or disadvantaged people</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More equal pay and employment opportunities for all citizens in Bristol</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make streets, buildings and transport more accessible to all, including elderly, young, disabled</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources to be targeted on supporting the most deprived communities</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More equal access to positions of power and influence for all citizens in Bristol</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Subtopics from survey themes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less plastic in packaging</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make it easier to recycle more</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner air in Bristol</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to improve biodiversity (help nature)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The climate crisis and the environment to be central to all decisions</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More local parks and green spaces</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to tackle litter, graffiti and fly-tipping</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees planted in our streets</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More of our food to be produced locally</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic recovery to speed up action on environmental priorities</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- % is the percentage of all respondents who stated that the issue was high or very high importance.
- All other numbers indicate the rank of importance for all 81 themes for each sub-group of respondents. Rank is shown for six sub-groups; high / low deprivation, male / female and age 0 to 24 / age 25 or older.
- Rank 1 (green) is the theme which the highest % of respondents rated as high or very high importance.
- Rank 81 (red) is the theme which the lowest % of respondents rated as high or very high importance.
### Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtopic</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be fuel-efficient and affordable to maintain</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make landlords maintain properties to a good standard</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in affordable housing</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent homes available to house street sleepers</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public car-free green space to be provided within all new housing areas</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rent cap to make renting affordable</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be within a 20-minute walk, cycle or bus ride of schools, shops and health services</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A programme to retrofit insulation to make existing homes more comfortable</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New housing developments should provide for a mix of families and older people</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New houses to only be built on derelict/disused sites</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate designated places for van dwellers</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtopics from survey themes</td>
<td>All Respondents</td>
<td>All Respondents</td>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Age 0 to 24</td>
<td>Age 25 or older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can travel easily around Bristol without using a car</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in the city overall</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for walking</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent buses to more destinations</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children will be able to play, walk and cycle outside in their neighbourhood</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More action to tackle speeding and unsafe driving</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in residential streets</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for cycling</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and access prioritised for disabled people</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road space removed to widen pavements and/or provide outside seating for restaurants, pubs</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A parking scheme to control how many cars park on streets in my neighbourhood</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can drive when and where they want in Bristol</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtopic</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment in jobs and training in areas of high unemployment and deprivation</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in jobs and training to help achieve a zero carbon, sustainable economy</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment to support small local businesses</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in jobs and training for young people aged under 30</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in jobs and training for all existing businesses</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be able to work more flexibly to fit in with family or other commitments</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A universal basic income for all</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More affordable childcare</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be able to work from home more</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More opportunities to work part-time</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## Subtopics from survey themes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning and skills</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More apprenticeships and opportunities to learn while working</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to special educational needs and disability (SEND) support</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality primary education within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality secondary education within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality pre-school education and support within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality careers information, advice and guidance</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality adult and community learning close to my home</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Access to the internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to the internet</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable high speed broadband across all parts of the city</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All school age children have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All people have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Improving local communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtopic</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>All Respondents</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age 0 to 24</th>
<th>Age 25 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens will have more of a say about changes affecting the whole city</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities to be more diverse and less separate in terms of age, wealth, ethnicity</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My neighbourhood will be able to make more decisions about changes in this area</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outdoor space in my community to meet and for children to play</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More funding/practical support for community volunteering/mutual aid groups</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More allotments/green space in my area for citizens to grow food</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More indoor accessible community spaces for flexible working, informal learning and events</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtopics from survey themes</td>
<td>All Respondents</td>
<td>All Respondents</td>
<td>Deprivation deciles 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>Deprivation deciles 9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Age 0 to 24</td>
<td>Age 25 or older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges on the most polluting vehicles</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower business rates for local independent shops</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher taxes on things that are bad for health (e.g. alcohol, sugar, smoking)</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A congestion charge to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase local taxation to spend on Bristol's priorities</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce tax to make it easier to start businesses/employ people</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging for parking at workplaces to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future priorities: detailed results

All respondents
Future priorities: Ten Themes, 81 Actions

The 81 actions are grouped in the following ten themes:

• Health and social care
• Inclusion and fairness
• Environment (including climate change)
• Housing
• Transport
• Employment
• Learning and skills
• Access to the internet
• Improving local communities
• Funding
Future priorities - health/social care

Views on future priorities: health and social care
All respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Total responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action to deal with a backlog of non-COVID-19 related illness</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6399 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to mental health support</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6380 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better social care for disabled and older people</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6422 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better public transport to access hospitals</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6396 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More visitor parking at hospitals</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6399 responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future priorities - inclusion/fairness

Views on future priorities: inclusion and fairness
All respondents

- **Action to reduce health inequality between all communities in Bristol**: 54% very high importance, 28% high importance, 13% medium importance, 1% low importance, 2% not at all important
- **More equal education opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**: 54% very high importance, 28% high importance, 14% medium importance, 2% low importance, 2% not at all important
- **Sustained funding for not-for-profit organisations which support vulnerable or disadvantaged people**: 45% very high importance, 32% high importance, 17% medium importance, 3% low importance, 3% not at all important
- **More equal pay and employment opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**: 47% very high importance, 30% high importance, 17% medium importance, 4% low importance, 3% not at all important
- **Action to make streets, buildings and transport more accessible to all, including elderly, young, disabled**: 44% very high importance, 32% high importance, 18% medium importance, 3% low importance, 2% not at all important
- **Resources to be targeted on supporting the most deprived communities**: 45% very high importance, 31% high importance, 17% medium importance, 4% low importance, 3% not at all important
- **More equal access to positions of power and influence for all citizens in Bristol**: 45% very high importance, 29% high importance, 19% medium importance, 4% low importance, 3% not at all important
## Future priorities – environment

### Views on future priorities: environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less plastic in packaging</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6431 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make it easier to recycle more</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6426 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner air in Bristol</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6434 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to improve biodiversity (help nature)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6416 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The climate crisis and the environment to be central to all decisions</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6427 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More local parks and green spaces</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6416 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to tackle litter, graffiti and fly-tipping</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6437 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees planted in our streets</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6415 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More of our food to be produced locally</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6429 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic recovery to speed up action on environmental priorities</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6386 responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Future priorities – housing

### Views on future priorities: housing

#### All respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be fuel-efficient and affordable to maintain</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6425 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make landlords maintain properties to a good standard</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6411 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in affordable housing</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>6402 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent homes available to house street sleepers</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6411 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public car-free green space to be provided within all new housing areas</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6400 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rent cap to make renting affordable</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6398 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be within a 20-minute walk, cycle or bus ride of schools, shops and health services</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6415 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A programme to retrofit insulation to make existing homes more comfortable</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6414 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New housing developments should provide for a mix of families and older people</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6405 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New houses to only be built on derelict/disused sites</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6394 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate designated places for van dwellers</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6400 responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Future priorities - transport

#### Views on future priorities: transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high import.</th>
<th>High import.</th>
<th>Medium import.</th>
<th>Low import.</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Total respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can travel easily around Bristol without using a car</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in the city overall</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for walking</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent buses to more destinations</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children will be able to play, walk and cycle outside in their neighbour</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More action to tackle speeding and unsafe driving</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in residential streets</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for cycling</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and access prioritised for disabled people</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road space removed to widen pavements and/or provide outside seating for restaurants, pubs</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A parking scheme to control how many cars park on streets in my neighbourhood</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can drive when and where they want in Bristol</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Future priorities - employment

Views on future priorities: employment

All respondents

- Investment in jobs and training in areas of high unemployment and deprivation: 51% (Very high importance), 30% (High importance), 13% (Medium importance), 4% (Low importance), 4% (Not at all important)
- Investment in jobs and training to help achieve a zero carbon, sustainable economy: 56% (Very high importance), 21% (High importance), 13% (Medium importance), 4% (Low importance), 6% (Not at all important)
- Investment to support small local businesses: 38% (Very high importance), 35% (High importance), 19% (Medium importance), 4% (Low importance), 3% (Not at all important)
- Investment in jobs and training for young people aged under 30: 38% (Very high importance), 30% (High importance), 18% (Medium importance), 5% (Low importance), 10% (Not at all important)
- Investment in jobs and training for all existing businesses: 17% (Very high importance), 24% (High importance), 36% (Medium importance), 15% (Low importance), 8% (Not at all important)
- To be able to work more flexibly to fit in with family or other commitments: 35% (Very high importance), 31% (High importance), 17% (Medium importance), 4% (Low importance), 12% (Not at all important)
- A universal basic income for all: 44% (Very high importance), 21% (High importance), 17% (Medium importance), 8% (Low importance), 10% (Not at all important)
- More affordable childcare: 29% (Very high importance), 20% (High importance), 13% (Medium importance), 5% (Low importance), 33% (Not at all important)
- To be able to work from home more: 24% (Very high importance), 25% (High importance), 23% (Medium importance), 11% (Low importance), 17% (Not at all important)
- More opportunities to work part-time: 22% (Very high importance), 24% (High importance), 21% (Medium importance), 10% (Low importance), 23% (Not at all important)

Responses: 6302, 6318, 6303, 6311, 6277, 6297, 6301, 6244, 6297, 6280
Future priorities – learning/skills

Views on future priorities: learning and skills
All respondents

More apprenticeships and opportunities to learn while working

- Very high importance: 39%
- High importance: 33%
- Medium importance: 19%
- Low importance: 5%
- Not at all important: 4%

Easier access to special educational needs and disability (SEND) support

- Very high importance: 36%
- High importance: 27%
- Medium importance: 20%
- Low importance: 8%
- Not at all important: 9%

Easier access to good quality primary education within walking distance of my home

- Very high importance: 30%
- High importance: 28%
- Medium importance: 22%
- Low importance: 9%
- Not at all important: 11%

Easier access to good quality secondary education within walking distance of my home

- Very high importance: 31%
- High importance: 27%
- Medium importance: 23%
- Low importance: 9%
- Not at all important: 11%

Easier access to good quality pre-school education and support within walking distance of my home

- Very high importance: 28%
- High importance: 27%
- Medium importance: 24%
- Low importance: 10%
- Not at all important: 12%

Easier access to good quality careers information, advice and guidance

- Very high importance: 26%
- High importance: 27%
- Medium importance: 29%
- Low importance: 11%
- Not at all important: 7%

Easier access to good quality adult and community learning close to my home

- Very high importance: 24%
- High importance: 27%
- Medium importance: 30%
- Low importance: 12%
- Not at all important: 7%
Future priorities – internet access

### Views on future priorities: access to the internet
**All respondents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable high speed broadband across all parts of the city</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All school age children have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All people have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6424 responses
6407 responses
6400 responses
Future priorities – communities

### Views on future priorities: improving local communities

All respondents

- **Citizens will have more of a say about changes affecting the whole city**
  - Very high importance: 38%
  - High importance: 37%
  - Medium importance: 21%
  - Low importance: 4%
  - Not at all important: 4%
  - Total responses: 6421

- **Communities to be more diverse and less separate in terms of age, wealth, ethnicity**
  - Very high importance: 43%
  - High importance: 25%
  - Medium importance: 19%
  - Low importance: 7%
  - Not at all important: 6%
  - Total responses: 6413

- **My neighbourhood will be able to make more decisions about changes in this area**
  - Very high importance: 30%
  - High importance: 35%
  - Medium importance: 27%
  - Low importance: 6%
  - Not at all important: 2%
  - Total responses: 6430

- **More outdoor space in my community to meet and for children to play**
  - Very high importance: 34%
  - High importance: 30%
  - Medium importance: 25%
  - Low importance: 8%
  - Not at all important: 3%
  - Total responses: 6398

- **More funding/practical support for community volunteering/mutual aid groups**
  - Very high importance: 30%
  - High importance: 34%
  - Medium importance: 28%
  - Low importance: 7%
  - Not at all important: 2%
  - Total responses: 6394

- **More allotments/green space in my area for citizens to grow food**
  - Very high importance: 34%
  - High importance: 28%
  - Medium importance: 25%
  - Low importance: 10%
  - Not at all important: 4%
  - Total responses: 6398

- **More indoor accessible community spaces for flexible working, informal learning and events**
  - Very high importance: 23%
  - High importance: 31%
  - Medium importance: 32%
  - Low importance: 11%
  - Not at all important: 3%
  - Total responses: 6383
Future priorities – funding

**Views on future priorities: funding**

*All respondents*

- **Charges on the most polluting vehicles**
  - Very high importance: 48%
  - High importance: 26%
  - Medium importance: 15%
  - Low importance: 6%
  - Not at all important: 5%
  - Total responses: 6339

- **Lower business rates for local independent shops**
  - Very high importance: 32%
  - High importance: 34%
  - Medium importance: 25%
  - Low importance: 7%
  - Not at all important: 3%
  - Total responses: 6381

- **Higher taxes on things that are bad for health (e.g. alcohol, sugar, smoking)**
  - Very high importance: 38%
  - High importance: 26%
  - Medium importance: 19%
  - Low importance: 9%
  - Not at all important: 8%
  - Total responses: 6362

- **A congestion charge to fund transport improvements**
  - Very high importance: 36%
  - High importance: 21%
  - Medium importance: 18%
  - Low importance: 12%
  - Not at all important: 14%
  - Total responses: 6355

- **Increase local taxation to spend on Bristol's priorities**
  - Very high importance: 15%
  - High importance: 25%
  - Medium importance: 34%
  - Low importance: 16%
  - Not at all important: 10%
  - Total responses: 6351

- **Reduce tax to make it easier to start businesses/employ people**
  - Very high importance: 15%
  - High importance: 22%
  - Medium importance: 34%
  - Low importance: 18%
  - Not at all important: 10%
  - Total responses: 6318

- **Charging for parking at workplaces to fund transport improvements**
  - Very high importance: 22%
  - High importance: 15%
  - Medium importance: 20%
  - Low importance: 19%
  - Not at all important: 24%
  - Total responses: 6366
Future priorities: detailed results
Comparison of high and low deprivation areas
Future priorities - health/social care

Views on future priorities: health and social care
Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

- **Action to deal with a backlog of non-COVID-19 related illness**
  - Very high importance: 64%
  - High importance: 54%
  - Medium importance: 33%
  - Low importance: 27%
  - Not at all important: 11%

- **Easier access to mental health support**
  - Very high importance: 65%
  - High importance: 57%
  - Medium importance: 29%
  - Low importance: 26%
  - Not at all important: 7%

- **Better social care for disabled and older people**
  - Very high importance: 57%
  - High importance: 49%
  - Medium importance: 35%
  - Low importance: 29%
  - Not at all important: 14%

- **Better public transport to access hospitals**
  - Very high importance: 54%
  - High importance: 43%
  - Medium importance: 35%
  - Low importance: 28%
  - Not at all important: 13%

- **More visitor parking at hospitals**
  - Very high importance: 40%
  - High importance: 21%
  - Medium importance: 32%
  - Low importance: 23%
  - Not at all important: 16%

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities-inclusion/fairness

Views on future priorities: inclusion and fairness
Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

- **Action to reduce health inequality between all communities in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: 58% (Decile 1+2), 50% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 25% (Decile 1+2), 31% (Decile 9+10)

- **More equal education opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: 57% (Decile 1+2), 48% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 26% (Decile 1+2), 34% (Decile 9+10)

- **Sustained funding for not-for-profit organisations which support vulnerable or disadvantaged people**
  - Very high importance: 50% (Decile 1+2), 38% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 30% (Decile 1+2), 36% (Decile 9+10)

- **More equal pay and employment opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: 51% (Decile 1+2), 40% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 30% (Decile 1+2), 33% (Decile 9+10)

- **Action to make streets, buildings and transport more accessible to all, including elderly, young, disabled**
  - Very high importance: 53% (Decile 1+2), 38% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 28% (Decile 1+2), 36% (Decile 9+10)

- **Resources to be targeted on supporting the most deprived communities**
  - Very high importance: 62% (Decile 1+2), 39% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 26% (Decile 1+2), 36% (Decile 9+10)

- **More equal access to positions of power and influence for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: 48% (Decile 1+2), 38% (Decile 9+10)
  - High importance: 29% (Decile 1+2), 33% (Decile 9+10)

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – environment

### Views on future priorities: environment

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less plastic in packaging</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (797) Decile 9+10 (1182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make it easier to recycle more</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (793) Decile 9+10 (1180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner air in Bristol</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (801) Decile 9+10 (1179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to improve biodiversity (help nature)</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (789) Decile 9+10 (1181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The climate crisis and the environment to be central to all decisions</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (801) Decile 9+10 (1180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More local parks and green spaces</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to tackle litter, graffiti and fly-tipping</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (801) Decile 9+10 (1180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees planted in our streets</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (791) Decile 9+10 (1180)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More of our food to be produced locally</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (798) Decile 9+10 (1179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic recovery to speed up action on environmental priorities</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (878) Decile 9+10 (1175)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
### Future priorities – housing

#### Views on future priorities: housing

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Decile 1+2 (796)</th>
<th>Decile 9+10 (1179)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be fuel-efficient and affordable to maintain</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make landlords maintain properties to a good standard</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in affordable housing</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent homes available to house street sleepers</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public car-free green space to be provided within all new housing areas</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rent cap to make renting affordable</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be within a 20-minute walk, cycle or bus ride of schools, shops and health services</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A programme to retrofit insulation to make existing homes more comfortable</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New housing developments should provide for a mix of families and older people</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New houses to only be built on derelict/disused sites</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate designated places for van dwellers</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities - employment

Views on future priorities: employment

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

- **Investment in jobs and training in areas of high unemployment and deprivation**
  - Very high importance: 52%; High importance: 49%; Medium importance: 28%; Low importance: 12%; Not at all important: 6%
  - Decile 1+2 (773); Decile 9+10 (1151)
  - Very high importance: 49%; High importance: 58%; Medium importance: 23%; Low importance: 12%; Not at all important: 4%

- **Investment in jobs and training to help achieve a zero carbon, sustainable economy**
  - Very high importance: 49%; High importance: 58%; Medium importance: 21%; Low importance: 16%; Not at all important: 4%
  - Decile 1+2 (774); Decile 9+10 (1153)
  - Very high importance: 58%; High importance: 35%; Medium importance: 23%; Low importance: 12%; Not at all important: 5%

- **Investment to support small local businesses**
  - Very high importance: 42%; High importance: 35%; Medium importance: 30%; Low importance: 18%; Not at all important: 4%
  - Decile 1+2 (773); Decile 9+10 (1152)
  - Very high importance: 35%; High importance: 42%; Medium importance: 30%; Low importance: 18%; Not at all important: 3%

- **Investment in jobs and training for young people aged under 30**
  - Very high importance: 38%; High importance: 49%; Medium importance: 26%; Low importance: 16%; Not at all important: 5%
  - Decile 1+2 (774); Decile 9+10 (1159)
  - Very high importance: 35%; High importance: 38%; Medium importance: 35%; Low importance: 17%; Not at all important: 5%

- **Investment in jobs and training for all existing businesses**
  - Very high importance: 22%; High importance: 35%; Medium importance: 47%; Low importance: 31%; Not at all important: 24%
  - Decile 1+2 (772); Decile 9+10 (1147)
  - Very high importance: 15%; High importance: 31%; Medium importance: 31%; Low importance: 38%; Not at all important: 14%

- **To be able to work more flexibly to fit in with family or other commitments**
  - Very high importance: 35%; High importance: 31%; Medium importance: 28%; Low importance: 17%; Not at all important: 4%
  - Decile 1+2 (770); Decile 9+10 (1153)
  - Very high importance: 31%; High importance: 35%; Medium importance: 26%; Low importance: 20%; Not at all important: 13%

- **A universal basic income for all**
  - Very high importance: 47%; High importance: 24%; Medium importance: 20%; Low importance: 14%; Not at all important: 7%
  - Decile 1+2 (773); Decile 9+10 (1148)
  - Very high importance: 36%; High importance: 47%; Medium importance: 20%; Low importance: 14%; Not at all important: 9%

- **More affordable childcare**
  - Very high importance: 29%; High importance: 21%; Medium importance: 11%; Low importance: 22%; Not at all important: 35%
  - Decile 1+2 (768); Decile 9+10 (1142)
  - Very high importance: 25%; High importance: 22%; Medium importance: 12%; Low importance: 35%; Not at all important: 22%

- **To be able to work from home more**
  - Very high importance: 21%; High importance: 22%; Medium importance: 23%; Low importance: 11%; Not at all important: 22%
  - Decile 1+2 (771); Decile 9+10 (1148)
  - Very high importance: 22%; High importance: 26%; Medium importance: 24%; Low importance: 10%; Not at all important: 18%

- **More opportunities to work part-time**
  - Very high importance: 22%; High importance: 24%; Medium importance: 19%; Low importance: 8%; Not at all important: 26%
  - Decile 1+2 (770); Decile 9+10 (1147)
  - Very high importance: 21%; High importance: 25%; Medium importance: 22%; Low importance: 10%; Not at all important: 22%

(No. of responses in parentheses)
**Future priorities – learning/skills**

**Views on future priorities: learning and skills**

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More apprenticeships and opportunities to learn while working</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to special educational needs and disability (SEND) support</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality primary education within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality secondary education within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality pre-school education and support within walking distance of my home</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality careers information, advice and guidance</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to good quality adult and community learning close to my home</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – internet access

### Views on future priorities: access to the internet

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable high speed broadband across all parts of the city</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All school age children have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All people have a device to access the internet</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
### Future priorities – communities

#### Views on future priorities: improving local communities

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens will have more of a say about changes affecting the whole city</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities to be more diverse and less separate in terms of age, wealth, ethnicity</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My neighbourhood will be able to make more decisions about changes in this area</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outdoor space in my community to meet and for children to play</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More funding/practical support for community volunteering/mutual aid groups</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More allotments/green space in my area for citizens to grow food</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More indoor accessible community spaces for flexible working, informal learning and events</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Views on future priorities: funding

Deprivation deciles 1 and 2 (most deprived 20%) compared with 9 and 10 (least deprived)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charges on the most polluting vehicles</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower business rates for local independent shops</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (794)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher taxes on things that are bad for health (e.g. alcohol, sugar, smoking)</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (797)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A congestion charge to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase local taxation to spend on Bristol's priorities</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (779)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1170)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce tax to make it easier to start businesses/employ people</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (787)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging for parking at workplaces to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 1+2 (785)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decile 9+10 (1165)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Very high importance
- High importance
- Medium importance
- Low importance
- Not at all important

(Note: No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities: detailed results
Comparison of male and female respondents
## Future priorities - health/social care

### Views on future priorities: health and social care

#### Male compared with female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action to deal with a backlog of non-COVID-19 related illness</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>14% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to mental health support</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15% 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better social care for disabled and older people</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>17% 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better public transport to access hospitals</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More visitor parking at hospitals</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17% 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities - inclusion/fairness

**Views on future priorities: inclusion and fairness**

**Male compared with female**

- **Action to reduce health inequality between all communities in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: Male 60%, Female 58%
  - High importance: Male 46%, Female 48%
  - Medium importance: Male 29%, Female 29%
  - Low importance: Male 28%, Female 28%
  - Not at all important: Male 17%, Female 12%

- **More equal education opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: Male 51%, Female 58%
  - High importance: Male 48%, Female 48%
  - Medium importance: Male 29%, Female 29%
  - Low importance: Male 28%, Female 28%
  - Not at all important: Male 17%, Female 12%

- **Sustained funding for not-for-profit organisations which support vulnerable or disadvantaged people**
  - Very high importance: Male 36%, Female 31%
  - High importance: Male 34%, Female 34%
  - Medium importance: Male 34%, Female 34%
  - Low importance: Male 21%, Female 21%
  - Not at all important: Male 4%, Female 4%

- **More equal pay and employment opportunities for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: Male 39%, Female 52%
  - High importance: Male 30%, Female 30%
  - Medium importance: Male 30%, Female 30%
  - Low importance: Male 21%, Female 21%
  - Not at all important: Male 6%, Female 4%

- **Action to make streets, buildings and transport more accessible to all, including elderly, young, disabled**
  - Very high importance: Male 49%, Female 49%
  - High importance: Male 37%, Female 37%
  - Medium importance: Male 34%, Female 34%
  - Low importance: Male 32%, Female 32%
  - Not at all important: Male 21%, Female 21%

- **Resources to be targeted on supporting the most deprived communities**
  - Very high importance: Male 49%, Female 49%
  - High importance: Male 38%, Female 38%
  - Medium importance: Male 32%, Female 32%
  - Low importance: Male 21%, Female 21%
  - Not at all important: Male 5%, Female 4%

- **More equal access to positions of power and influence for all citizens in Bristol**
  - Very high importance: Male 49%, Female 49%
  - High importance: Male 39%, Female 39%
  - Medium importance: Male 29%, Female 29%
  - Low importance: Male 30%, Female 30%
  - Not at all important: Male 17%, Female 3%
### Future priorities – environment

#### Views on future priorities: environment

**Male compared with female**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less plastic in packaging</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Male (2132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>Female (3724)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make it easier to recycle more</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Male (2133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Female (3715)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner air in Bristol</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Male (2133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>Female (3725)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to improve biodiversity (help nature)</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Male (2132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Female (3705)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The climate crisis and the environment to be central to all decisions</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Male (2141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Female (3711)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More local parks and green spaces</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Male (2131)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Female (3708)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to tackle litter, graffiti and fly-tipping</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Male (2136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Female (3721)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees planted in our streets</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Male (2127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Female (3709)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More of our food to be produced locally</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Male (2132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Female (3716)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic recovery to speed up action on environmental priorities</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Male (2124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Female (3693)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – housing

### Views on future priorities: housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be fuel-efficient and affordable to maintain</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make landlords maintain properties to a good standard</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in affordable housing</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent homes available to house street sleepers</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public car-free green space to be provided within all new housing areas</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rent cap to make renting affordable</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be within a 20-minute walk, cycle or bus ride of schools, shops and health services</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A programme to retrofit insulation to make existing homes more comfortable</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New housing developments should provide for a mix of families and older people</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New houses to only be built on derelict/disused sites</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate designated places for van dwellers</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities - transport

Views on future priorities: transport
Male compared with female

- Everyone can travel easily around Bristol without using a car
  - Male: 67% Very high, 69% High
  - Female: 64% Very high, 71% High

- Less traffic in the city overall
  - Male: 58% Very high, 61% High
  - Female: 55% Very high, 62% High

- More space and priority for walking
  - Male: 54% Very high, 58% High
  - Female: 52% Very high, 60% High

- More frequent buses to more destinations
  - Male: 42% Very high, 50% High
  - Female: 41% Very high, 49% High

- Children will be able to play, walk and cycle outside in their neighbourhood
  - Male: 50% Very high, 54% High
  - Female: 47% Very high, 50% High

- More action to tackle speeding and unsafe driving
  - Male: 50% Very high, 54% High
  - Female: 49% Very high, 51% High

- Less traffic in residential streets
  - Male: 49% Very high, 50% High
  - Female: 48% Very high, 49% High

- More space and priority for cycling
  - Male: 53% Very high, 50% High
  - Female: 51% Very high, 49% High

- Parking and access prioritised for disabled people
  - Male: 27% Very high, 34% High
  - Female: 26% Very high, 33% High

- Road space removed to widen pavements and/or provide outside seating for restaurants, pubs
  - Male: 35% Very high, 40% High
  - Female: 34% Very high, 40% High

- A parking scheme to control how many cars park on streets in my neighbourhood
  - Male: 23% Very high, 13% High
  - Female: 22% Very high, 12% High

- Less parking space in the city centre
  - Male: 21% Very high, 14% High
  - Female: 20% Very high, 13% High

- More parking space in the city centre
  - Male: 10% Very high, 9% High
  - Female: 9% Very high, 8% High

- Everyone can drive when and where they want in Bristol
  - Male: 10% Very high, 9% High
  - Female: 9% Very high, 8% High

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities – learning/skills

Views on future priorities: learning and skills
Male compared with female

More apprenticeships and opportunities to learn while working
- Male (2105) Very high importance: 35%, High importance: 41%
- Female (3655) Very high importance: 34%, High importance: 33%

Easier access to special educational needs and disability (SEND) support
- Male (2106) Very high importance: 29%, High importance: 28%
- Female (3641) Very high importance: 28%, High importance: 28%

Easier access to good quality primary education within walking distance of my home
- Male (2112) Very high importance: 28%, High importance: 31%
- Female (3647) Very high importance: 29%, High importance: 28%

Easier access to good quality secondary education within walking distance of my home
- Male (2108) Very high importance: 27%, High importance: 33%
- Female (3645) Very high importance: 28%, High importance: 27%

Easier access to good quality pre-school education and support within walking distance of my home
- Male (2113) Very high importance: 25%, High importance: 29%
- Female (3655) Very high importance: 28%, High importance: 27%

Easier access to good quality careers information, advice and guidance
- Male (2103) Very high importance: 23%, High importance: 26%
- Female (3643) Very high importance: 27%, High importance: 29%

Easier access to good quality adult and community learning close to my home
- Male (2104) Very high importance: 21%, High importance: 25%
- Female (3657) Very high importance: 25%, High importance: 29%

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities – internet access

Views on future priorities: access to the internet
Male compared with female

- Affordable high speed broadband across all parts of the city
  - Male: 55% Very high importance, 26% High importance, 13% Medium importance, 4% Low importance, 3% Not at all important
  - Female: 54% Very high importance, 27% High importance, 14% Medium importance, 14% Low importance, 3% Not at all important

- All school age children have a device to access the internet
  - Male: 38% Very high importance, 25% High importance, 21% Medium importance, 9% Low importance, 7% Not at all important
  - Female: 44% Very high importance, 26% High importance, 19% Medium importance, 7% Low importance, 4% Not at all important

- All people have a device to access the internet
  - Male: 35% Very high importance, 26% High importance, 24% Medium importance, 9% Low importance, 6% Not at all important
  - Female: 38% Very high importance, 28% High importance, 23% Medium importance, 7% Low importance, 3% Not at all important

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future priority</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens will have more of a say about changes affecting the whole city</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities to be more diverse and less separate in terms of age, wealth, ethnicity</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My neighbourhood will be able to make more decisions about changes in this area</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outdoor space in my community to meet and for children to play</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More funding/practical support for community volunteering/mutual aid groups</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More allotments/green space in my area for citizens to grow food</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More indoor accessible community spaces for flexible working, informal learning and events</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Male vs Female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2129</td>
<td>3716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2128</td>
<td>3713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2132</td>
<td>3721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2123</td>
<td>3704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2123</td>
<td>3699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2124</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2117</td>
<td>3697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
### Future priorities – funding

#### Views on future priorities: funding

**Male compared with female**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charges on the most polluting vehicles</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2123)</td>
<td>Female (3657)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower business rates for local independent shops</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2120)</td>
<td>Female (3675)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher taxes on things that are bad for health (e.g. alcohol, sugar, smoking)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2126)</td>
<td>Female (3692)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A congestion charge to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2119)</td>
<td>Female (3672)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase local taxation to spend on Bristol's priorities</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2124)</td>
<td>Female (3675)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce tax to make it easier to start businesses/employ people</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2119)</td>
<td>Female (3684)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging for parking at workplaces to fund transport improvements</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (2114)</td>
<td>Female (3651)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities: detailed results

Comparison of people aged 0-24 and people aged 25 or more
Future priorities - health/social care

Views on future priorities: health and social care
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action to deal with a backlog of non-COVID-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>related illness</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier access to mental health support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0 to 24 (285)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better social care for disabled and older people</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better public transport to access hospitals</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More visitor parking at hospitals</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities - inclusion/fairness

Views on future priorities: inclusion and fairness
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

- Action to reduce health inequality between all communities in Bristol
  - Very high importance: 61%
  - High importance: 55%
  - Medium importance: 27%
  - Low importance: 9%
  - Not at all important: 2%
  - 0 to 24 (283) vs. 25 or older (5757)

- More equal education opportunities for all citizens in Bristol
  - Very high importance: 66%
  - High importance: 53%
  - Medium importance: 29%
  - Low importance: 24%
  - Not at all important: 7%
  - 0 to 24 (262) vs. 25 or older (5762)

- Sustained funding for not-for-profit organisations which support vulnerable or disadvantaged people
  - Very high importance: 50%
  - High importance: 45%
  - Medium importance: 32%
  - Low importance: 29%
  - Not at all important: 16%
  - 0 to 24 (282) vs. 25 or older (5515)

- More equal pay and employment opportunities for all citizens in Bristol
  - Very high importance: 58%
  - High importance: 46%
  - Medium importance: 30%
  - Low importance: 27%
  - Not at all important: 10%
  - 0 to 24 (284) vs. 25 or older (5763)

- Action to make streets, buildings and transport more accessible to all, including elderly, young, disabled
  - Very high importance: 52%
  - High importance: 44%
  - Medium importance: 33%
  - Low importance: 24%
  - Not at all important: 18%
  - 0 to 24 (283) vs. 25 or older (5761)

- Resources to be targeted on supporting the most deprived communities
  - Very high importance: 55%
  - High importance: 45%
  - Medium importance: 31%
  - Low importance: 29%
  - Not at all important: 12%
  - 0 to 24 (283) vs. 25 or older (5749)

- More equal access to positions of power and influence for all citizens in Bristol
  - Very high importance: 57%
  - High importance: 44%
  - Medium importance: 30%
  - Low importance: 26%
  - Not at all important: 13%
  - 0 to 24 (281) vs. 25 or older (5754)

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – environment

### Views on future priorities: environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Priority</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less plastic in packaging</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5801)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make it easier to recycle more</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner air in Bristol</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (288)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5804)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to improve biodiversity (help nature)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5786)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The climate crisis and the environment to be central to all decisions</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (289)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5799)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More local parks and green spaces</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (286)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5788)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to tackle litter, graffiti and fly-tipping</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5804)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More trees planted in our streets</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5782)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More of our food to be produced locally</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (287)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic recovery to speed up action on environmental priorities</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 24 (283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 or older (5768)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities – housing

Views on future priorities: housing
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be fuel-efficient and affordable to maintain</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action to make landlords maintain properties to a good standard</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in affordable housing</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent homes available to house street sleepers</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public car-free green space to be provided within all new housing areas</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rent cap to make renting affordable</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All new homes to be within a 20-minute walk, cycle or bus ride of schools, shops and health services</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A programme to retrofit insulation to make existing homes more comfortable</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New housing developments should provide for a mix of families and older people</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New houses to only be built on derelict/disused sites</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate designated places for van dwellers</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Future priorities - transport

#### Views on future priorities: transport

**Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>0 to 24 (288)</th>
<th>25 or older (5810)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (287)</th>
<th>25 or older (5795)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (286)</th>
<th>25 or older (5757)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (287)</th>
<th>25 or older (5769)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (286)</th>
<th>25 or older (5761)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (286)</th>
<th>25 or older (5777)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (287)</th>
<th>25 or older (5759)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (285)</th>
<th>25 or older (5777)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (286)</th>
<th>25 or older (5787)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (287)</th>
<th>25 or older (5758)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (282)</th>
<th>25 or older (5660)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (286)</th>
<th>25 or older (5754)</th>
<th>0 to 24 (287)</th>
<th>25 or older (5773)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can travel easily around Bristol without using a car</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in the city overall</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for walking</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent buses to more destinations</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children will be able to play, walk and cycle outside in their neighbourhood</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More action to tackle speeding and unsafe driving</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic in residential streets</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More space and priority for cycling</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and access prioritised for disabled people</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road space removed to widen pavements and/or provide outside seating for restaurants, pubs</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A parking scheme to control how many cars park on streets in my neighbourhood</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More parking space in the city centre</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone can drive when and where they want in Bristol</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
<td>0 to 24</td>
<td>25 or older</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Very high importance
- High importance
- Medium importance
- Low importance
- Not at all important

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities - employment

Views on future priorities: employment
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

Investment in jobs and training in areas of high unemployment and deprivation
- Very high importance: 60% (0 to 24), 51% (25 or older)
- High importance: 20% (0 to 24), 30% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 12% (0 to 24), 13% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 3% (0 to 24), 4% (25 or older)
- Not at all important: 4% (0 to 24), 3% (25 or older)

Investment in jobs and training to help achieve a zero carbon, sustainable economy
- Very high importance: 66% (0 to 24), 56% (25 or older)
- High importance: 17% (0 to 24), 21% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 9% (0 to 24), 13% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 3% (0 to 24), 4% (25 or older)
- Not at all important: 5% (0 to 24), 6% (25 or older)

Investment to support small local businesses
- Very high importance: 42% (0 to 24), 35% (25 or older)
- High importance: 36% (0 to 24), 28% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 16% (0 to 24), 18% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 3% (0 to 24), 5% (25 or older)
- Not at all important: 4% (0 to 24), 3% (25 or older)

Investment in jobs and training for young people aged under 30
- Very high importance: 53% (0 to 24), 30% (25 or older)
- High importance: 28% (0 to 24), 13% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 13% (0 to 24), 4% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 4% (0 to 24), 8% (25 or older)

Investment in jobs and training for all existing businesses
- Very high importance: 16% (0 to 24), 24% (25 or older)
- High importance: 39% (0 to 24), 36% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 12% (0 to 24), 15% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 10% (0 to 24), 8% (25 or older)

To be able to work more flexibly to fit in with family or other commitments
- Very high importance: 30% (0 to 24), 33% (25 or older)
- High importance: 26% (0 to 24), 17% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 4% (0 to 24), 12% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 5% (0 to 24), 5% (25 or older)

A universal basic income for all
- Very high importance: 49% (0 to 24), 19% (25 or older)
- High importance: 17% (0 to 24), 17% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 8% (0 to 24), 7% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 7% (0 to 24), 10% (25 or older)

More affordable childcare
- Very high importance: 28% (0 to 24), 23% (25 or older)
- High importance: 16% (0 to 24), 13% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 8% (0 to 24), 5% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 26% (0 to 24), 33% (25 or older)

To be able to work from home more
- Very high importance: 18% (0 to 24), 22% (25 or older)
- High importance: 25% (0 to 24), 25% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 23% (0 to 24), 23% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 10% (0 to 24), 17% (25 or older)

More opportunities to work part-time
- Very high importance: 18% (0 to 24), 27% (25 or older)
- High importance: 23% (0 to 24), 20% (25 or older)
- Medium importance: 13% (0 to 24), 10% (25 or older)
- Low importance: 18% (0 to 24), 23% (25 or older)

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities – learning/skills

Views on future priorities: learning and skills
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

More apprenticeships and opportunities to learn while working
- 0%: 38% (0 to 24), 39% (25 or older)
- 10%: 34% (0 to 24), 33% (25 or older)
- 20%: 19% (0 to 24), 19% (25 or older)
- 30%: 4% (0 to 24), 5% (25 or older)

Easier access to special educational needs and disability (SEND) support
- 0%: 36% (0 to 24), 35% (25 or older)
- 10%: 26% (0 to 24), 28% (25 or older)
- 20%: 21% (0 to 24), 20% (25 or older)
- 30%: 9% (0 to 24), 7% (25 or older)

Easier access to good quality primary education within walking distance of my home
- 0%: 27% (0 to 24), 30% (25 or older)
- 10%: 24% (0 to 24), 28% (25 or older)
- 20%: 24% (0 to 24), 22% (25 or older)
- 30%: 14% (0 to 24), 9% (25 or older)

Easier access to good quality secondary education within walking distance of my home
- 0%: 28% (0 to 24), 31% (25 or older)
- 10%: 24% (0 to 24), 27% (25 or older)
- 20%: 26% (0 to 24), 23% (25 or older)
- 30%: 12% (0 to 24), 9% (25 or older)

Easier access to good quality pre-school education and support within walking distance of my home
- 0%: 26% (0 to 24), 28% (25 or older)
- 10%: 22% (0 to 24), 27% (25 or older)
- 20%: 27% (0 to 24), 24% (25 or older)
- 30%: 16% (0 to 24), 10% (25 or older)

Easier access to good quality careers information, advice and guidance
- 0%: 33% (0 to 24), 28% (25 or older)
- 10%: 27% (0 to 24), 27% (25 or older)
- 20%: 22% (0 to 24), 30% (25 or older)
- 30%: 13% (0 to 24), 10% (25 or older)

Easier access to good quality adult and community learning close to my home
- 0%: 25% (0 to 24), 23% (25 or older)
- 10%: 30% (0 to 24), 27% (25 or older)
- 20%: 26% (0 to 24), 31% (25 or older)
- 30%: 13% (0 to 24), 12% (25 or older)

Legend:
- Very high importance
- High importance
- Medium importance
- Low importance
- Not at all important

(No. of responses in parentheses)
Future priorities – internet access

Views on future priorities: access to the internet
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affordable high speed broadband across all parts of the city</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 24 (290)</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 or older (5790)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All school age children have a device to access the internet</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 24 (290)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 or older (5775)</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All people have a device to access the internet</th>
<th>Very high importance</th>
<th>High importance</th>
<th>Medium importance</th>
<th>Low importance</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 24 (289)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 or older (5769)</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
# Future priorities – communities

## Views on future priorities: improving local communities

**Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens will have more of a say about changes affecting the whole city</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities to be more diverse and less separate in terms of age, wealth, ethnicity</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My neighbourhood will be able to make more decisions about changes in this area</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More outdoor space in my community to meet and for children to play</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More funding/practical support for community volunteering/mutual aid groups</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More allotments/green space in my area for citizens to grow food</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More indoor accessible community spaces for flexible working, informal learning and events</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No. of responses in parentheses)
## Future priorities – funding

**Views on future priorities: funding**
Age 0 to 24 compared with 25 or older

- **Charges on the most polluting vehicles**
  - Very high importance: 43% (282)
  - High importance: 26% (281)
  - Medium importance: 34% (5729)
  - Low importance: 27% (5729)
  - Not at all important: 19% (5729)

- **Lower business rates for local independent shops**
  - Very high importance: 28% (282)
  - High importance: 49% (281)
  - Medium importance: 35% (5747)
  - Low importance: 26% (5747)
  - Not at all important: 15% (5747)

- **Higher taxes on things that are bad for health (e.g. alcohol, sugar, smoking)**
  - Very high importance: 34% (283)
  - High importance: 38% (283)
  - Medium importance: 23% (5768)
  - Low importance: 27% (5768)
  - Not at all important: 19% (5768)

- **A congestion charge to fund transport improvements**
  - Very high importance: 34% (282)
  - High importance: 36% (282)
  - Medium importance: 19% (5743)
  - Low importance: 21% (5743)
  - Not at all important: 12% (5743)

- **Increase local taxation to spend on Bristol's priorities**
  - Very high importance: 14% (281)
  - High importance: 22% (281)
  - Medium importance: 20% (5738)
  - Low importance: 35% (5738)
  - Not at all important: 20% (5738)

- **Reduce tax to make it easier to start businesses/employ people**
  - Very high importance: 18% (281)
  - High importance: 32% (281)
  - Medium importance: 20% (5754)
  - Low importance: 31% (5754)
  - Not at all important: 21% (5754)

- **Charging for parking at workplaces to fund transport improvements**
  - Very high importance: 17% (282)
  - High importance: 15% (282)
  - Medium importance: 10% (5716)
  - Low importance: 22% (5716)
  - Not at all important: 35% (5716)

(No. of responses in parentheses)